

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,449	KANEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID R. SAMPLE	1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID R. SAMPLE. (3) Tommy Kim.

(2) Nicole Gugliotta. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2010.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applied prior art was discussed in conjunction with arguments already of record. Parties discussed a possible amendment limiting the plug length.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
--	---